Preserve

P 26

App. F. IX-4

Previous References
Jmg 347-07-1-3

1928.
(Jmgrai)

File No. 248-28
Serial Nos. 1-7

Later References
Jmg Dept 218 of 29-30
Jmg 16-30-1-2
Jmg Dept no. 26 of 33.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 7

Part B for January 1930

SUBJECT

Review application from Advocate Mr D Sri Rama-chandra Rao on behalf of Sreeke Vijayaraghavaswami Devasthanam for the ~~cancellation~~ use of drum on camel and green flag by Kunda par Swamis.

Dept 1321 of abt 5
5.1.30

To the Jmg Comm

There are no records or records
the orders already passed in the matter

General and Revenue Secretariat | Unnumbered File No. 267 of 1929.

Serial No.

VI

Application dated 12th January 1929 from H. H. the Swamigalu of the Uyyakonda Mutt, Sooroale, (by Agent Vedamurti Sundaramayyachar,) Uno? their Advocate Mr. R. Rama Chandra Rao, praying for the Cancellation of G.O. dated 20th July 1928 permitting the Swamigalu of the said Uyyakonda Mutt to use Drum on camel and green flag during his tours in their State.

Orders of the First Member of Council on the B.U.D.—

"no stay order can be issued. It may be posted to some date to be fixed later on. It is not to hear the other Mutt enough if notice is issued only to present petitioners

(Sd) M. R. K.
12.1.29

(Sd) B.S.
20 D.K.S.

Draft endorsement put up 15/1/29

15/1/29

3

16/1

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 021—GPB—30,000—21-8-28

General and Revenue Secretariat | M File No 2108 of 192 8

Serial No.

2

[illegible]

an appl[illegible]

[illegible]

4 the files connected with this case, are subje
5 This case will be posted for
hearing as ordered, with the next
batch of cases. But before
posting, may the records relating with
I G.O. dt 31-3-1925 be called for,
as the review application under
consideration, seems to rely on the
writs, Sanads etc. Were produced
by the contending Parties?
Submit.
AG
25-1-29.

AG
25/1/29. DR Submit.
AR
25/1/29.

DR
25/1/29
7 Jan
DR
25/1/29

3 For the M.C Letter no 1440 dt
26.1.29 calls for the records relating
with G.O. of March 1925 regd to.

4 From, the M.C. Letter no. 1278 dt
12.2.29.
Promises to send the records
on receipt from D.C. Bangalore
and reports that as the Kuruba
matter is not a very important

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

and much heart burning is likely to be caused by allowing a more recent visit to arrogate to itself Bisadus enjoyed by the older visit, if deemed necessary, Govt may reconsider the case after hearing the parties.

The member has ordered that the matter may be disposed of, after hearing the Local Govt.

If the Vern. records promised by the M.C. in the 1st para of his present Comm. are not received before the end of the month, a reminder will issue to that Officer.

Submit.

14.2.29.

15/2

14/2 If the records have not been received till now. Dr. Exam. to M.C. is submitted for appn.

3/4/29.

5 3/4 To the Exam. Comm. 3/4
No 2149 dt. 6-4-29
Invites reference to the first para of his letter dt 9

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

* requests that the file records returned with G.O No 1014/29 dt. 1-8-29 regarding the decision of the Board may kindly be retransmitted as the same is required for the disposal of the matter [illegible]

From, the M.C. Letter no. 1278 dt 21.9.1929.

Submits his office files containing the exhibits filed by the Contending Mahs etc. & the case will be posted for hearing, as already ordered.

Submitted

DV

24/9 24.9.29. (for Member) 28/9/29.

14 Aug 29

15 Case called — Mahs' Agent and Mr. A.S. Narayana Murti present. The latter stated that Mr D.M. Rama Chandra Rao the Counsel engaged by the Vyasaraya Mahs matt is unwell and

(Contin. sheet)

Note — Since received.

Serial No.

and that the case might be adjourned to a later date to enable Mr Ramachandra Rau to appear and argue the case. This request is granted. The case will be taken up in Dec next when the date of hearing for later is fixed.

16 9th Dec Now be fixed for this and all other cases to be heard — —

Notices issued.

Put up

[illegible]

19 Case heard on 9-12-29

The petr - Sundara Rajachar, agent of the matt - present and represented by Mr D M Ramachandra Rau. Advt

Order -

18 The representation of the petitioner-Matt is that the three honours of Drum on Camel, Green flag and Green umbrella, should form its exclusive privileges and that the grant of these honours to Sri Kundapur Vijayarayaswami Matt is an infringement of its right. This contention is quite untenable. It is not open since Matt

(Case No. - Reserved for orders)

3504/1929

Note—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mer. File No 2117 of 1929

Serial No.

to say what honours should or should not be allowed to another Mutt. As a matter of fact the honours under consideration have been conferred not only on the Sri Sosale Vyasarayaswami and the Sri Kundapur Vyasarayaswami Mutts but also on other Mutts. There are no reasons, in these circs to reconsider the orders already passed.

Mutt
15-12-29

19 Asst
16/12

To
Dft submit
AV
21 12 25
Ms
21/12

21 Dear
21/12

To
The Mer Coll
No 1321 § 3-1-29.
States that there are no reasons to reconsider the orders already passed in the matter

Copy to the Mutt Agent-
1322 § 3-1-30

7

B
Contn. sheet

WD, 021—GPB—30,000—21 8-28



GEN & REV SECRETARIAT
16 JAN 1929
MUZRAI
D1057

No. M.221

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA

OF MYSORE.

Muzrai Application No. of 1928-29.

****

Petitioners

His Holiness Sri Varidhi Sreepadangalavaru
Swamigalu of Sosale, Vyasaraya Mutt,
Sosale, T. Narasipur
by Agent, Vedamurti Sundararajachar.

The Petitioner states as follows:-

1. That the Muzrai Commissioner, after elaborate enquiry and perusal of ancient documents filed by Sri Vyasaraya Mutt, held that the insignia of Nagari on Camel and green Flag were the exclusive priveleges enjoyed by the Petitioner's Mutt and that the Kundapur or Abbur Mutt had failed to adduce sufficient proof to establish its right to use them. Government was - - pleased to pass orders accordingly on 31st March 1925. The case was disposed of after hot contest.

2. The Petitioner is surprised to learn that Government have passed orders on the 20th of July 1928 in contravention of their previous proceedings without notice to the Petitioner and has thus -- deprived the petitioner of urging his claim before Government.

3. The Muzrai Commissioner, who is represented to have made a recommendation to Government regarding the use of these priveleges by the Kundapur Mutt, also did not issue any notice to the Petitioner and make his recommendation after hearing the Petitioner.

4. There is not a single circumstance mentioned in the recent proceedings as to why the previous orders should have been given up and contrary orders should have been passed although the previous pro--ceedings were passed after the Muzrai Commissioner had called for statements of claims from the two Mutts and had passed his orders after fully hearing them.

5. The Petitioner has produced a large number of sunnuds, rahadaris and nirups in the previous case to prove his claim and has been enjoying the privileges exclusively and it is an infringment of his right to allow the Kundapur Mutt to use them.

6. Wherefore the Petitioner requests that Government will be, in equity, good conscience and fairness, pleased to set aside the recent proceedings and pass orders after hearing the Petitioner.

7. The Petitioner learns that arrangements are being made by the Kundapur Mutt authorities for the

tour

tour of the Swamigalu with the said insignia on the strength of a rahadari granted by the Muzrai Commissioner and the Petitioner requests that Government may be pleased to immediately stay further action on the strength of the -- rahadari, until disposal of this application.

Bangalore,
12th January 1929.

Agent, Sri Vyasaraya Mutt,
S O S A L E.

Advocate for Petitioner.



In the Court of
Before the Government of H. H. the Maharajah of Mysore

Mussaè appls **No.** **of 192 & 192 9.**

Appellant—Plaintiff. *Respondent—Defendant.*

H H. Sri Vanidhi Sreefadavgalavaru by agent Vedamurti Sundarajachar

Vs.

1. ...The petitioners... above-named do, by these presents, retain **Mr. D. M. Ramachandra Rau, B.A., B.L.,** *Advocate,* to appear and conduct the above case on.....my.....behalf to judgment.

2. I/We further empower him to do all necessary acts in the above case, to execute any decree or order that may be passed in.....my... behalf and to receive from the Court all documents, refunds, and all sums of money that I/we may be entitled to get and grant receipts therefor.

3. I/We also undertake to confirm and ratify all the acts done by the said Advocate or by any other Advocate on his behalf in so doing.

BANGALORE,
12 · 1 · 1929.

Personally known to me and signed in my presence.
Dr. Ramachandra Rau
Advocate.

Accepted
Dr. Ramachandra Rau
Advocate.

3219-26 The Bangalore Press

That the Head of this mutt be permitted to use the marginally noted Bicadus during his tours in the Mysore State.

Sd/- B. Srinivasiengar,
Secretary to Govt.
Financial Dept.

To
The Inspector Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Copy to the Agent of the mutt.

Copy
A. Venkata Rao
for Superintendent
Government Boards
G.R.S

(1) 1 Drum or Camel
(2) 1 green flags
(3) 1 green umbrella

Copied
Compared

Copy applied for by
Mr Sundararajachar
on 9.1.29
Ready on 11.1.29
delivd on 11.1.29
No of words 215
Copying fee fees. 0-7-0



In the Court of Before the Principal of H H the Maharajah's [illegible]

[illegible] **No.** **of 192 & 1929**

Appellant—Plaintiff *Respondent—Defendant.*

H H Sri Vanidhi Seshadayalavaru by Agent Vedamurti Sundaracharu

Vs.

1. The petitioners above-named do, by these presents, retain **Mr. D. M. Ramachandra Rau, B.A., B.L.,** *Advocate,* to appear and conduct the above case on my behalf to judgment

2. I/We further empower him to do all necessary acts in the above case, to execute any decree or order that may be passed in my behalf and to receive from the Court all documents, refunds, and all sums of money that I/we may be entitled to get and grant receipts therefor.

3. I/We also undertake to confirm and ratify all the acts done by the said Advocate or by any other Advocate on his behalf in so doing.

[illegible]

BANGALORE,
12-1-1929.

Personally known to me and signed in my presence.

Dr. Ramachandra Rau
Advocate

Accepted

Dr. D. Ramachandra Rau
Advocate. for petnr

3219-26 The Bangalore Press

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

Endorsement.

Granted to Ved. Sundara raraja Chari, Agent of the late Vizianagaram Samasthanam, c/o Dr. Rama Chendra Rao, Bar-at-Law, Advocate, Nellore, Bezwada

with reference to his application dated the 12th January 1922 asking for the Cancellation of the Govt. order, dated the 20th July 19[illegible] permitting the Encumbrances of the Kunidapur Vizianagaram Samasthanam to use drum Camel and Green

WD 2230—GPB—1,00,000—23-7-20

dismissing the Writs issued in the State and requesting that the said orders be held in abeyance pending disposal of his application ~~for~~ Ved Sundara Rajulu's Counsel is informed that Govt regret that no stay orders can be issued as requested. The applicant is however informed that ~~in case~~ he would be afforded an opportunity to urge his case before Govt ~~and~~ & at an early date, ~~information about~~ ~~the~~ ~~object~~ ~~desired~~ he is ~~required~~ (instructed) to file it in due course.

By order

M. 16/1/29

for Secy to Govt

?C,
15/1/29

Appendix F G 1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

.....The R. C. .....

Date of issue.....

Signed by .....

Sir,

I am directed to request you will be so good as to return to us at a very early date, the file of records sent to you with G.O. no. 1426 Misc. 237-23-5 of 31. 3. 1925 rejecting the Review petition of Sri Kumbakarna Vyasaraya Swamy Math ad Adhar claiming the Rights of "Nagari" on Camel and Green Flag,

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

WD 0399—GPB—20,000—18-9-28

as these records are required
for ref. in connection with
the review application of
Sri Sarle Vyasaraya Swamy
matt for a re consideration of
the order dt 20.7.1928
granting the land 2 Biadus
to Kundapura Math.

I have etc.

Dd) [illegible] 28/7/
- Asst

mc
26/7/29

Sms file is submtr for
kind perusal.

The members has
orders that notice may
be issued only to the present
mail viz Scale mail.
The V. recmds not provided by
The m.c. in the 1st para, as enquiries
Submtr AV 13.2.27.

M. C. 13]

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 11th December 192 12

No. 1278 Enq 28-9

FROM

F. C. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

MUZRAI D 1209 Enq 248-28-9

To

In connection with the file of the Sri Kundapur Vyasarajaswami Matha (Member)

P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L., No. 4906

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Financial Department.

12/12/28 13/12/28

SIR,

I have the honor to state that the file of records connected with the claim of the Sri Kundapur Vyasarajaswami Matha for Nagari on ------ camel and two other birudus referred to in your letter No.1440/Muz. 248-28 dated 26th January 1928 has been called for from the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District and will be sent as soon as it is received.

2. In this connection, I submit herewith Srimukha No.271 dated 30th January 1928 received from the Swamigalu of the Sri Sosale Vyasaraja-Swami Matha, protesting against the use of

Drum on camel
Green flags
& Green umbrellas

by the Swamigalu of the Sri Kundapur Matha as they are the exclusive birudus belonging to his Matha and as their use by the latter was not permitted on previous occasions and stating that he has filed a ---- review petition before Government for a re-consideration of the orders passed in Government Order No.135/Muz.244-27-4 dated 20th July 1928.

3. I recently interviewed the Swamigalu at Sosale and he reiterated to me the contents of the Srimukha and also showed me some original -- references bearing on the subject matter in the custody of the Matha and when I pointed out to him that there were Matts of other denominations enjoying these honours he stated that he had no objection to ----

Government may reconsider the case after hearing the parties.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your obedient servant,

Madras Govt. Secretary.

46

Appendix F G 1

SUBJECT

Section Gen 3
File No. 248 - 28
Serial No. 5

Diary No of letter replied to

No 2149

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

..... The Hon e. .....

Date of issue . 6/4/29.

Signed by .. .. ..

M. C. 18]

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, ... 192 .

No. 1278 Ev-37-6
28-9

FROM A. K. Syed Raja, M.A., B.L.,

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

D 510

Inam 248-28-6



B. Srinivasa Ayyangar, B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department

SIR,

With reference to your D.O.letter No.6[illegible]/Inam.248-28 dated 18th September 1929, I have the honor to state that no further communication has been received from the Deputy Commissioner and that he has been urgently reminded again.

2. This office file R.Dis.No.54(A) of 1925-26 Sections A & B containing the couhter petitioners' objection to the claim of the petitioner, the latter's reply and the former's rejoinder and -- written arguments and the exhibits of the Sri Sosale and Sri Kundapur Mathas is sent for reference and kind return when no -- longer required.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Muzrai Commissioner.

(absent from station)

Mutt No

Dt. 9-12-29.

No. [illegible]

To

The First Member of Council

The Govt of Mysore -

Bangalore

9

D. 9214

Sir,

I beg to state that the Sosale Vyasaraja Vidyasimhasana Mut is disputing the decision arrived at by the Govt. in their order No. 135-6. MEy. 244-27-4 dated 20-7-1928 — re- the insignia earned by Vyasaraja being enjoyable by both the Muts - which are branches that claim to be original Vyasaraja's Mutts.

I hope the decision arrived at after the perusal of numerous records & hearing of both the sides will not be reasonably set aside by entertaining the objections of only the Sosali Vyasaraja Mut as was done once in 1911 A.D.

More explanation

records than what have hitherto been submitted & considered to swiftly set aside the ordenance hastily passed in 1911 on the receipt of a petition some 18 years ago, leading to a serious injustice to the (original) Chemnaf Sonakundapoor Vyasaraja Mut whose Mathadhikathies have long been absent from Mysore will have now to be submitted, and also considered in case the G.O. above referred to is likely to be reconsidered.

Hence I pray that due notice & the necessary time may also be kindly given to the Chemnafatha Kundapoor Vyasaraja Mut & its representatives be heard in full before altering the final Govt. order above referred to.

Thanking You,

I beg to remain Sir,

Your most obedient & humble servant

Vijaya

Hony. Agent ch. k. V. Mut
No 24. A. S. St. B. City.

(G)

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Mujrai ~~Appeal~~ Review No. 9 of 1929-30

~~Appellant~~ Petr. ~~Vs.~~ ~~Respondent~~

H. H. Sri Svamiyaru of
Sri Vyasaraya Swamy Mate.
Thro. its Agent Ved. Sundararajachar.

Subject.

Review-application praying for a cancellation of G.O. Dy 20-7-1928 permitting the use of Drum (Nagari) and certain other Biruds by Sri Kumbapura Vyasaraya Swamy Mata during its tours in the Mysore State.

The case was heard on 9th Dec. 1929. The petr. Ved. Sundararajachar Agent of the mata was present, and represented

GPB—1,000—8-8-11

by his Dy
Ramachandra Rau.

Index

Copy members minutes. 13a/ Dec '29 - Jan 1st
3-1-30
in page 5 of the office note

Memo

Copy of m.c. issd with the
item of receipt like his Cellr
No. 1278 dy 21.9.29.

B.O
21/9/29

Copy of the mail No. 1322 dtd. 28-28-7 dy 3 2/3
Agent Kkd. Dr. D m.
Ramachandra Rau Dr.

B.O.
21/9/29

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Muzrai Review No. 9 of 1929-30

Petitioner.- His Holiness Sri Swamiyaru of Sri Vyasarayaswamy Matt through its Agent Ved. Sundararajachar.

S u b j e c t

Review application praying for a cancellation of Government order dated 20th July 1928 permitting the use of Drum (Nagari) on camel and certain other Biradus by Sri Kundapur Vyasarayaswamy Matt during its tours in the Mysore State.

The case was heard on 9th December 1929, the petitioner Ved. Sundararajachar, Agent of the Matt was present and represented by his advocate Mr. D.M. Ramachandra Rao.

O R D E R

The representation of the petitioner Matt is that the three honours of Drum on camel, Green flag and Green Umbrella, should form its exclusive privileges and that the grant of these honours to Sri Kundapur Vyasarayaswami Matt is an infringement of its right. This contention is quite untenable. It is not open to any Matt to say what honours should or should not be allowed to another Matt. As a matter of fact the honours under consideration have been conferred not only on the Sri Sosale Vyasarayaswami and the Sri Kundapur Vyasaraya Swami Matts but also on other Matts. There are no reasons, in these circumstances, to reconsider the orders already passed.

Memo No. 1521 mug/ 248-28-7 dated, Bangalore, the 27th December 1929.
Recd 3rd Jan. 1930.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, returning the records received with his letter No. 1278 dated 21st September 1929.

By order,

Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.